UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Marcus Keith Johnson Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-278
	ter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform fendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findir	gs of Fact
<u>-</u>	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that	3 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
(existed – that is	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
-	which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
-	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	•
-	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in:
-	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve a minor victim	es:
	the possession or use of a firearm or d a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
		the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another s not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	
、 /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or m Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	ore is prescribed in:
-	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establis will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and t	ned by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ne safety of the community.
Alternative Findings (B)		
, ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger th	·
Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
evidence _	✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	etention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
which he conviction and obstraction in place of	has acquired 23 convictions, 3 of them for felonies. Four his are based on attempts to elude or frustrate apprehens ructing officers, leaving the scene of a personal injury acre, and in 2010 he failed to appear in response to an order	dential history. His criminal history spans 12 years, during r of the convictions are drug-related, and many of his sion (false information to police, fleeing and eluding, resisting cident.) In 2009, he failed to appear in state court for jury to show cause, necessitating his arrest. A PPO is presently dant has proven himself incapable of conforming to bond
	Part III – Directions Re	garding Detention
corrections appeal. Th States Cou defendant	s facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons ne defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity urt or on request of an attorney for the Government, the p to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	General or a designated representative for confinement in a awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United erson in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
Date:	October 14, 2011 Judge's Signatur	e: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge